

Guarantee Fee Analyses Gaining Momentum

Justin A. Etter, CFA

Financial institutions commonly require personal guarantees in connection with business loans, especially when there is any uncertainty regarding the collateral and risk inherent in the arrangement. It is also simply a method for the banks to reduce risk and ensure compliance with internal guidelines or standards imposed by regulatory authorities. When wealth transfer strategies involve loans with personal guarantees, practitioners must be aware of potential gift tax consequences.

The following is an example with facts similar to that of a recent case. Let us assume that the majority shareholder of a privately held operating company (“ABC”) previously transferred stock of ABC into a trust and wants to move additional shares into that same trust. The shareholder and his advisors determine that they would like the trust to purchase the shares using borrowed funds. Representatives of the trust engage a financial institution and arrange for the financing, but the financial institution requires that the majority shareholder provide a personal guarantee. The majority shareholder has significant other assets, so this guarantee meaningfully de-risks the loan and provides a safety net should the ABC stock decline in value.

We must now deal with the gift tax ramifications of the guarantee. There are two basic approaches, the first being to establish a guarantee fee that is to be paid by the trust to the guarantor either in one lump sum or periodically over time. This is akin to an insurance premium and the level of fee should be commensurate with the risk taken by the guarantor. A business valuation firm can be engaged to determine the appropriate fee. In the alternative, if there is no desire for the fee to be paid, a gift has been made by the guarantor to the trust, and the business valuation firm can be engaged to value the gift. This valuation is generally made by computing the present value of the guarantee fee that would have been charged.

A second illustration is reflective of situations common in the private equity space. Imagine an individual (“Mr. Smith”) has committed \$5.0 million to a newly formed private equity fund (“PEF”), and wishes to transfer 20% of his limited partnership interest in PEF to a trust for the benefit of his

descendants. The valuation of Mr. Smith's interest is based in large part on the capital account balance on the date of transfer. However, the transfer includes the obligation to fund the remaining commitment. The transferee, in this case a trust, does not have the funds sufficient to make those capital contributions. The trust obtains funding from a financial institution, but that institution requires a personal guarantee from Mr. Smith in order to make the loan. Mr. Smith and the trust should enter into a guarantee fee arrangement to avoid a gift, or should report a gift having been made if there is no desire to install such a fee. A business valuation firm would be needed in either case to determine the appropriate guarantee fee.

Guarantee fees are typically due and payable on an annual basis from the initial date of the arrangement until the date of its termination. A guarantee can be likened to a standby letter of credit ("SLOC"). *Barron's Dictionary of Banking Terms* defines a SLOC as "a contingent (future) obligation of the issuing bank to make payment to the designated beneficiary if the bank's customer fails to perform as called for under the terms of a contract."

SLOC Cost + Guaranteed Fee Factors

The cost to obtain a SLOC from a financial intermediary is typically a percentage of the face amount on an annual basis. Similarly, this provides the business valuation firm with a starting point in determining the market rate for the guarantee. Certain factors that impact the concluded guarantee fee include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Collateral underlying the note
- Nature of payments (interest only versus equal payments, etc.)
- Prepayment plan (if any)
- Maturity of note
- Amount of principal subject to the guarantee
- Credit analysis of the borrower (including other underlying assets)
- Capital market rate evidence/interest rate environment

Once all factors are considered, the business valuation firm is able to determine the market rate of the guarantee fee. This market rate represents the rate that the Trust would pay to Mr. Smith for the Guarantee of the Note as if the two parties were negotiating at arm's length.

If your client or a trust is involved in a loan arrangement similar to those described herein, have you provided for the appropriate guarantee fee to your Mr. Smith or have you contemplated the potential gift that was made?

About MPI

MPI, a prestigious national consulting firm founded in 1939, specializes in business valuation, forensic accounting, litigation support and corporate advisory work. MPI provides fairness opinions, sell-side and buy-side advisory services through its investment banking affiliate MPI Securities, Inc. MPI conducts every project as if it is going to face the highest level of scrutiny, and its senior professionals have extensive experience presenting and defending work product in front of financial statement auditors, management teams, corporate boards and fiduciaries, the IRS, other government agencies, and in various courts.

For additional information pertaining to MPI or MPIS and our valuation and advisory services, visit www.mpival.com

DISCLAIMERS: The information provided in this publication is only general in nature. It has been prepared without taking into account any specific objectives, financial circumstances or needs. Accordingly, MPI disclaims any and all guarantees, undertakings and warranties, expressed or implied, and shall not be liable for any loss or damage whatsoever (including human or computer error, negligent or otherwise, or actual, incidental, consequential or any other loss or damage) arising out of or in connection with any use or reliance upon the information or advice contained within this publication. The viewer must accept sole responsibility associated with the use of the material in this publication, irrespective of the purpose for which such use or results are applied. This material should not be viewed as advice or recommendations. This information is not intended to, and should not, form a primary basis for any investment, valuation or other decisions. MPI is not acting as a fiduciary, an expert or advisor in any capacity whatsoever in providing the information set forth herein. The information set forth herein may not be relied upon and is not a substitute for competent legal and financial advice. The viewer of this material is cautioned and advised to consult with his or her own legal and financial counsel in evaluating the information provided herein.

The information provided in this publication is based on public information. MPI makes every effort to use reliable and comprehensive information, but makes no warranties or representations of any kind relating to the accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the information provided herein and MPI shall not have liability for any damages of any kind relating to any reliance on such data. Further, the information set forth herein is continuously subject to change and may fluctuate. MPI has no obligation to update the information set forth herein or to advise the viewer when opinions or information may change.

Investment banking and transaction advisory services are provided by MPI Securities, Inc., member FINRA/SIPC. Persons affiliated with MPI Securities, Inc. are registered representatives of and securities are offered through MPI Securities, Inc. This publication is not a solicitation or offer to buy or sell securities. The information contained in this publication was prepared for information purposes only and was not intended or written to be used as investment or tax advice or as a recommendation to buy or sell securities.

For More Information Contact:

Daniel M. Kerrigan, CFA

dkerrigan@mpival.com

Princeton: 609-955-5732

New York: 212-935-4422